

EXPERT ANALYSIS

New 'Fair Pay and Safe Workplaces' Executive Order Dramatically Increases Risks for Government Contractors

By Linda Jackson, Esq., Ilyse Schuman, Esq., and Maury Baskin, Esq.
Little Mendelson PC

The White House has issued another executive order aimed at federal contractors, and it is the most sweeping one to date. The EO, titled "Fair Pay and Safe Workplaces,"¹ was issued July 31 and is intended, according to the accompanying White House fact sheet, to "crack[] down on federal contractors who put workers' safety and hard-earned pay at risk."²

The EO imposes multiple new obligations on government contractors and greatly increases the risks that such companies will confront in performing services for the United States. In a number of its provisions, the EO is unprecedented in its scope and may very well exceed the president's authority under the laws enacted by Congress.

NEW LABOR LAW RESPONSIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

At the outset, the new EO changes the procurement process for contracts worth more than \$500,000 and requires federal contractors to disclose, during the bid process, any labor law violations committed within the past three years. Under the EO, the contracting officer will then determine whether, based on the information disclosed, the contractor is a responsible source with a satisfactory record of integrity and business ethics. Depending on the number and nature of the violations, employers could be restricted from receiving the contract. The EO states that "labor law violations" will, for the first time, include any violation of the "14 covered federal statutes and equivalent state laws, includ[ing] those addressing wage-and-hour, safety-and-health, collective bargaining, family-and-medical leave, and civil rights protections."

The EO includes similar new provisions that will impose unprecedented burdens on contractors to certify that each of their subcontractors whose compensation exceeds \$500,000 meets the newly imposed responsibility standards. The contractors' determinations regarding their subcontractors' responsibility will be subject to further evaluation and potential challenge by newly appointed agency officials.

Although agency officials are permitted to prevent the award of contracts to employers that have committed serious law violations relating primarily to contract performance, the new EO greatly expands the list of laws that will become part of this responsibility determination and includes a new focus on labor and employment issues.

The EO will also require agencies to designate a senior official as a labor compliance adviser "to provide consistent guidance on whether contractors' actions rise to the level of a lack of integrity or business ethics" and take this information into consideration in awarding new contracts. The new advisers will have sweeping authority within their respective agencies to monitor and enforce labor law responsibility requirements as well as other workplace requirements to which government contractors are subject.

In a number of its provisions, the executive order is unprecedented in its scope and may very well exceed the president's authority under the laws enacted by Congress.

The EO further directs the Federal Acquisition Regulation Council and the secretary of labor to undertake the massive new task of coordinating and developing consistent guidelines for determining what types of labor law violations will be deemed to adversely impact contractors' responsibility determinations. The agencies will, for the first time, have to evaluate and compare "administrative merits determinations, arbitral awards or decisions, and civil judgments" to determine whether they reflect "serious, repeated, willful or pervasive violations" of labor and employment laws.

The EO claims authority to make such determinations exists within the executive branch regardless of whether Congress has established statutory standards for such determinations. Many contractors are justifiably concerned that the new, yet-to-be-defined guidelines for determining whether past labor law violations impact responsibility will result in favoritism and deny due process to companies that are denied contract eligibility.

The EO also directs the General Services Administration to create a new website where contractors will be required to post all of the new required reports. Nothing is stated in the EO regarding the public or private nature of such reports.

NEW RESTRICTIONS ON GOVERNMENT CONTRACTORS' USE OF EMPLOYMENT ARBITRATION

In addition, the EO bars employers seeking contracts of \$1 million or more from requiring their employees to enter into mandatory arbitration agreements to resolve disputes regarding sexual discrimination, harassment or assault. Until now, only defense contractors had been prevented from requiring such arbitration agreements. The new EO's broader restriction on government contractors' use of the arbitration process appears to conflict with the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in *CompuCredit v. Greenwood*,³ and other similar rulings upholding the enforceability of arbitration agreements under the Federal Arbitration Act.

NEW PAYCHECK INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS

The EO includes a new wage-and-hour transparency component. This component requires contractors to give employees information concerning their hours worked, overtime hours, pay, and any additions to or deductions made from their pay. The EO also includes a "right to know" provision requiring employers to notify any employee in writing as to whether they are being treated as an independent contractor rather than an employee. This provision is likely to increase litigation questioning such determinations.

PLANS FOR IMPLEMENTATION

The new EO is not self-enforcing. It directs the FAR Council to propose and implement rules and regulations to execute the provisions, after notice-and-comment proceedings. No timelines are set forth in the EO, and the process is expected to be lengthy and complicated.

According to the White House, interested parties will be invited to "listening sessions" with the Office of Management and Budget, Department of Labor and senior White House officials to provide input on shaping the policies and practices set forth in the EO. In theory, agencies will take these comments into consideration when drafting the EO's implementing regulations. The White House fact sheet states that contractors with past violations "will be offered the opportunity to receive early guidance on whether those violations are potentially problematic and remedy any problems."

OTHER RECENT MEASURES AIMED AT FEDERAL CONTRACTORS

Efforts to penalize contractors for past violations are increasing. Amendments were recently added to four appropriations bills in the House of Representatives that would effectively bar from federal contracts any employers that have committed Fair Labor Standards Act violations within the past five years. Such violations could include a finding of fault and liability in any civil, criminal or administrative proceeding, including entering into wage-and-hour conciliation agreements or consent decrees that include a "finding of fault." It is unclear whether these amendments will survive Senate consideration.

In July, the president also signed an EO preventing federal contractors from discriminating against employees on the basis of sexual orientation or gender identity.⁴ This followed an EO issued in February setting the minimum wage of certain federal contractors at \$10.10 per hour starting Jan. 1, 2015.⁵ And in March, the White House issued a memorandum directing the Department of Labor to revise its “white collar” overtime exemption regulations.⁶

One month later, in April, the president signed an EO, called “non-retaliation for disclosure of compensation information,” making it unlawful for contractors to retaliate against employees who disclose their pay information. The same day the president issued a memorandum — “advancing pay equality through compensation data collection” — directing the Department of Labor to issue regulations within 120 days that will require federal contractors and subcontractors to submit to the Labor Department summary data on the compensation paid their employees, including data by sex and race.⁸

NEXT STEPS

Federal contractors have clearly borne the brunt of recent administration actions. The latest EO could fundamentally change the stakes of doing business with the federal government and it appears subject to legal challenge. Contractors should prepare now for the upcoming changes, become actively involved in the future agency listening sessions and submit comments regarding the proposed implementing regulations when they are released.

NOTES

¹ <http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2014/07/31/executive-order-fair-pay-and-safe-workplaces>.

² <http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2014/07/31/fact-sheet-fair-pay-and-safe-workplaces-executive-order>.

³ 132 S. Ct. 665 (U.S. Jan. 10, 2012).

⁴ Exec. Order No. 13672, 79 Fed. Reg. 42,971-42,972 (July 21, 2014).

⁵ Exec. Order No. 13658, 79 Fed. Reg. 9,849-9,854 (Feb. 12, 2014).

⁶ Presidential Memorandum, 79 Fed. Reg. 15,209-15,212 (Mar. 18, 2014).

⁷ Exec. Order No. 13665, 79 Fed. Reg. 20,749-20,750 (Apr. 8, 2014).

⁸ Presidential Memorandum, 79 Fed. Reg. 20,751-20,752 (Apr. 11, 2014).

The new executive order changes the procurement process for contracts worth more than \$500,000.



Linda Jackson (L) is co-chair of **Littler Mendelson PC's** government contractors industry group and a shareholder in the firm's Washington office. She has extensive experience representing clients before federal, state and appellate courts, administrative agencies, and arbitration. She can be reached at ljackson@littler.com. **Ilyse Schuman** (C) is co-chair of the workplace policy institute and a shareholder in the firm's Washington office. She represents clients on a variety of workplace issues and developments in Congress and executive-branch federal agencies. She can be reached at ischuman@littler.com. **Maury Baskin** (R) is a shareholder in the Washington office and chairs the firm's construction practice group. His practice focuses on national labor policy, challenging excessive government regulation on behalf of businesses and advising employers on compliance issues. He can be reached at mbaskin@littler.com.

©2014 Thomson Reuters. This publication was created to provide you with accurate and authoritative information concerning the subject matter covered, however it may not necessarily have been prepared by persons licensed to practice law in a particular jurisdiction. The publisher is not engaged in rendering legal or other professional advice, and this publication is not a substitute for the advice of an attorney. If you require legal or other expert advice, you should seek the services of a competent attorney or other professional. For subscription information, please visit www.West.Thomson.com.